

2010 BRINGING ADMINISTRATORS TOGETHER CONFERENCE

50 Ways to Trim Your Budget

Frank Goldberg

Todd Van Neck

April 15, 2010

UIC UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
AT CHICAGO

Succeeding in Challenging Times



Workshop Presenters

- Frank Goldberg
Vice Provost for Resource Planning & Mgmt
frankg1@uic.edu
- Todd Van Neck
Director of Budgeting & Program Analysis
tvanneck@uic.edu

Workshop Objectives

- Not really 50 ways...
 - Not exhaustive list
 - Not a prescription
- More about balancing than trimming...

“The problem is all inside your head...”

- Recognizing you’re in a bad relationship
 - The State is an unreliable partner
- The context...

Without GRF Increases Reallocation Is Required to Balance the Budget

Fiscal Year	GRF Change	Base tuition	Salary Program	Reallocation
2003	-10.35%	21.8% UG, 20.0% grad	0%	10.9% admin 7.1% hospital Colleges (varied)
2004	-11.13%	5.0%	1.5% + 1.0%	11.13%
2005	0.0%	16.0% UG, 8.0% grad	2.5% + unspecified	1.3%
2006	0.0%	9.0% UG, 7.0% grad	2.5% + 0.5%	3.1%
2007	+1.4%	9.5% UG, 9.0% grad	2.5% + 0.5%	1.0%
2008	+1.9%	9.5%	2.5% + 0.5%	1.5%
2009	+2.6% univ, +1.3% campus	9.5%	1.5% + 0.5%	3.0% Colleges, 2.25% Other
2010	0.0%	2.6% UG, 4.0% grad	0.0%	0.75%

What's it Look Like Today?

- FY 2009
 - \$10M of 2.7% GRF increase held by UA
 - Used to offset 2.5% cash rescission
- FY 2010
 - State is \$500M behind in paying the University's appropriation
 - Part of a \$13B gap on State's \$29B operating budget
 - No salary program; hiring freeze; furloughs; contingency plans for budget rescissions or reductions

UIC Budget Forecast

		FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
GRF from State	Better	0.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%
	Middle	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Worse	(15.0%)	(10.0%)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tuition (Base)	Better	9.5%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
	Middle	9.5%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
	Worse	9.5%	9.5%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Salary Program	Better	0.0%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
	Middle	0.0%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
	Worse	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Utilities		0.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%
Financial Aid (as % of UG Tuition)		15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%	15.0%

“...don't need to be coy...”

- Small adjustments at the margin don't cut it anymore
- Have to think about the base
- 25% administrative cost reduction exercise

“Hop off the bus...”

- Just because a program or project is funded, doesn't mean it's part of our mission
- Have to look at what we do, not just how we do it

“Make a new plan...”

- Committees and Task Forces
 - Administrative Review & Restructuring
 - University level
 - Campus level – internal & external
 - Academic Directions
 - IT
 - Records Mgmt

Category #1 - Efficiencies

- Leveraging buying power/bulk purchasing
- Shared service centers
 - Centralized
 - Consolidated
 - Shared
- Simplifying/streamlining business processes

Category #2 – Prioritizing what we do

- Academic programs
 - Evaluating the program array
 - Tools and techniques (CFAS, RPI)
 - Program review, accreditation
- Administrative services
 - Internally-focused services
 - Externally-focused services

Category #3 – Cost recovery activities

- Establish goals for “full” cost recovery
- Look at ICR recovery rates
- Review tuition distribution model
 - Campus holdback amount anticipated modest annual increases in GRF
 - Extend model to cover all credit instruction
- Review indirect cost recovery rates
- Review programs & activities that do not pay any overhead (admin allowance)

Category #4 – Maximizing revenue

- Managing enrollment
- Waiver practices
- Fee for service models

Category #1 - Efficiencies

Regulatory Relief – Rules we impose on ourselves; streamlining business practices; use of technology

Review internal charge backs; is cost equal to value of the service? Benchmarks for internal pricing; alternatives to internal monopoly providers

Centralizing low volume transactions

Process review to find inefficiencies

Category #2 – Prioritizing what we do

- For individuals – self reflection, thinking time
- Review campus level requirements
- Risk/reward tradeoff for regulations
- Look at performance metrics for programs
- Become more customer centric (students and internal customers)
- Avoid duplication of effort/programs – looking for partners to collaborate with
- Organize and structure knowledge; identify experts and mentors

Category #3 – Cost recovery activities

Category #4 – Maximizing revenue

- Matching price to the value of the educational product

Category #1 - Efficiencies

- Job sharing; replacing permanent with temporary employees and vice versa
- Investing in electronic tools for communication and publications; leveraging existing technologies
- Standardizing communication tools (for example scheduling software); mandatory direct deposit; eliminate paper phonebooks
- Incentives for reducing energy consumption; incentives for conspicuous consumption;

Category #2 – Prioritizing what we do

Category #3 – Cost recovery activities

Category #4 – Maximizing revenue

- Use wait list to manage enrollment
- Examine existing unused functionality of Banner